

# Algorithms and Data Structures

## Searching and Sorting

Nguyễn Gia Phong—BI9-184

December 3, 2019

### 1 Cocktail Shaker Sort

The code is implemented following the cocktail shaker sort's pseudocode<sup>1</sup> with bubble sort's optimization<sup>2</sup> whose time complexity is analyzed as follows

#### 1.1 Best Case

For the matter of brevity, we consider all operations on the array's  $n$  members are in constant time ( $\Theta(1)$ ). If the array is already sorted, after the first `while` loop (line 25), `h` is still `low` and thus the `do-while` loop is broken. Since the while loop runs from `low + size` to `high - size` by `size` steps, the running time is  $(\text{high} - \text{low} - \text{size} * 2) / \text{size} + 1$  or  $\text{nmemb} - 1$ . Therefore the best case time complexity is  $\Omega(n - 1) = \Omega(n)$ .

#### 1.2 Average Case

Assume the average case is when the array is uniformly shuffled, that is, every permutation has the equal probability to occur.

Given a permutation of an  $n$ -element array, consider the positive integer  $k \leq n$  that exactly the last  $n - k$  members are continuously in the correct positions (as in the ascendingly sorted array). It is obvious that for  $k = 1$ , the array is sorted and the probability of the permutation to appear is  $1/n!$ . For  $1 < k \leq n$ , if we fix the last  $n - k$  members in their right places, out of the  $k!$  permutations of the first  $k$  elements,  $(k - 1)!$  ones has the  $k$ -th greatest

---

<sup>1</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cocktail\\_shaker\\_sort#Pseudocode](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cocktail_shaker_sort#Pseudocode)

<sup>2</sup>[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bubble\\_sort#Optimizing\\_bubble\\_sort](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bubble_sort#Optimizing_bubble_sort)

at the correct place. Therefore, let  $X$  be the number that exactly  $n - X$  last elements are in the right positions, we have

$$p_X(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n!} & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \frac{k! - (k-1)!}{n!} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Applying this to the first `while` (line 25) with  $n$  and  $X - 1$  being the number of steps from `low` to `high`, before and after `high = h` respectively, the expectation of  $X$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[X] &= \sum_{k=1}^n k p_X(k) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} + \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{k!k - k!}{n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} + \sum_{k=3}^{n+1} \frac{k!}{n!} - \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{k!}{n!} - \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{k!}{n!} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} + \frac{(n+1)!}{n!} - \frac{2!}{n!} - \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{k!}{n!} \\ &= n + 1 - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{k!}{n!} \\ &= n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k!}{n!} \end{aligned}$$

Hence after line 28, the newly sorted length of the array is

$$n - \mathbf{E}[X - 1] = n - \mathbf{E}[X] + 1 = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{k!}{n!} = \Theta(1)$$

Similarly, line 31 to 35 also sort  $\Theta(1)$  element(s), thus each iteration of the `do-while` loop to sort  $\Theta(1)$  members. The overall average-case time complexity is

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= \begin{cases} (n - \Theta(1)) + (n - \Theta(1)) + T(n - \Theta(1)) & \text{if } n > 0 \\ \Theta(1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 2n - \Theta(1) + T(n - \Theta(1)) & \text{if } n > 0 \\ \Theta(1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ &= \Theta(1) + \sum_{k=1}^m (2k - \Theta(1)) = 2 \sum_{k=1}^m k - \sum_{k=1}^m \Theta(1) = m^2 + m - \sum_{k=1}^m \Theta(1) \end{aligned}$$

where  $m$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \exists \{f_k \mid k \in 1..m\} \subset \Theta(1), \sum_{k=1}^m f_k(n) = n &\implies \sum_{k=1}^m \Theta(1) = \Theta(n) \implies m = \Theta(n) \\ &\implies T(n) = \Theta(n^2) + \Theta(n) - \Theta(n) = \Theta(n^2) \end{aligned}$$

### 1.3 Worst Case

If the array is reversely sorted, after each first `while` (line 25), `high` is decreased by `size`; and after each second `while` (line 32), `low` is increased by `size`. For `low + size >= high`, it takes  $(\text{high} - \text{low} - \text{size}) / \text{size} + 1 \gg 1$  or `nmemb / 2` iterations of the `do-while` loop (line 23). The overall complexity would then be

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (n - 2k + 1 + n - 2k) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} (2n - 4k + 1) \\ &= n^2 + 2 \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \left( \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \right) + \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \\ &= O(n^2) \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Merge Sort

As usual, the linked list is implemented using classic Lisp's `cons`-cells. The program is thus compiled by

```
cc construct.c Ex2.c -o Ex2
```

To keep the implementation concise, memory safety as well as stack limit was not considered.

It is trivial that the time complexity of `merge` is  $\Theta(n)$  with  $n$  being the total length of `left` and `right`. For `msort`, the running time of the `while` loop at line 27 is also  $\Theta(n)$ , where  $n$  is the length of the input `list`. The overall time complexity is

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} \Theta(1) & \text{if } n \leq 1 \\ \Theta(n) + T\left(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor\right) + T\left(\lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil\right) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The recurrence can be stated as

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta(n)$$

By the master theorem<sup>3</sup>,

$$T(n) = 2T\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + \Theta\left(n^{\log_2 2}\right) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_2 2} \lg n\right) = \Theta(n \lg n)$$

### 3 Copying

This report along with the source files are licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

---

<sup>3</sup>Let  $a \geq 1$  and  $b > 1$  be constants, and let  $T(n)$  be defined on the nonnegative integers by the recurrence

$$T(n) = aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a}\right)$$

where  $n/b$  is interpreted as either  $\lfloor n/b \rfloor$  or  $\lceil n/b \rceil$ , then

$$T(n) = \Theta\left(n^{\log_b a} \lg n\right)$$